Personal Activity Intelligence (PAI), Sedentary Behavior and Cardiovascular Risk Factor Clustering – the HUNT Study
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ARTICLE INFO

Abstract

Prolonged sedentary behavior (SB) positively associates with clustering of risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD). The recently developed metric for physical activity (PA) tracking called Personal Activity Intelligence (PAI) takes into account age, sex, resting and maximum heart rate, and a score of ≥100 weekly PAI has been shown to reduce the risk of premature CVD death in healthy as well as individuals with known CVD risk factors, regardless of whether or not the current PA recommendations were met. The aim of the present study was to examine if PAI modifies the associations between SB and CVD risk factor (CV-RF) clustering in a large apparently healthy general population cohort (n = 29,950, aged ≥20 years). Logistic regression revealed that in those with ≥100 weekly PAI, the likelihood of CV-RF clustering prevalence associated with prolonged SB was attenuated across age groups. Monitoring weekly PAI-level could be useful to ensure that people perform enough PA to combat SB’s deleterious association with CV-RF.
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SB positively associates with risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD), and all-cause mortality. Previous studies have shown that in those meeting the current physical activity (PA) recommendations, protection from the risk associated with prolonged SB is not guaranteed, identifying SB as a risk factor independent of PA. However, a recent study that included over a million individuals showed that the risk of all-cause mortality associated with prolonged SB could be eliminated with high levels (60–75 minutes per day) of moderate intensity PA, while moderate intensity PA congruent with current recommendations was not sufficient in eliminating the risk. However, since only 30% of the population meet the current PA recommendation, asking the remaining 70% of the population who are already not active enough to double the amount of PA outlined by the recommendations may be demotivating and problematic.

Recently, a new personalized metric for PA tracking named Personal Activity Intelligence (PAI) was developed with the aim to make it easier to quantify how much PA per week is needed to reduce the risk of premature CVD, the leading cause of death in the world. PAI takes into account age, sex, resting and maximum heart rate and is, therefore, a personalized reflection of the body’s response to PA. Obtaining ≥100 PAI weekly was found to delay premature death from CVD and all causes, regardless of whether or not the current PA recommendations were met, suggesting that PAI may be an important tool when determining the sufficient amount of PA required to produce significant health benefit in an individual from the general population. It is currently unknown if weekly PAI score of ≥100 can counter the negative effects of SB on health, especially in regard to risk of CVD.

Therefore, the primary aim of the current cross-sectional study was to examine the associations of SB and CVD risk factor (CV-RF) clustering, and the potential modifying effect of ≥100 weekly PAI in a large population based cohort of apparently healthy individuals.
continuous and as percentages for categorical variables. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni was used to test differences between continuous, and chi-square was used to test the differences between categorical variables among different PAI categories.

CV-RF was derived from the definition of metabolic syndrome, and the clustering of CV-RF was defined as a waist circumference of \( \geq 94 \text{ cm} \) in men and \( \geq 80 \text{ cm} \) in women, combined with HDL cholesterol of \( <1.0 \text{ mmol/L} \) in men and \( <1.3 \text{ mmol/L} \) in women, systolic BP \( \geq 130 \text{ mm Hg} \) and/or diastolic BP \( \geq 85 \text{ mm Hg} \), and serum triglycerides \( \geq 1.7 \text{ mmol/L} \) or medication for hypertension, dyslipidemia or diabetes.

Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the association of PAI and SB with CV-RF clustering, based on a priori decision to stratify by age (\( \leq 44, 45–59 \) and \( \geq 60 \) years). The basic models were tested for sex interaction (\( P > 0.05 \)), and were therefore adjusted for sex and age, with additional adjustments in further analyses for smoking, non-fasting serum glucose and SB or PAI, respectively. All results are expressed as odds ratios (OR) with the precision of estimates given in 95% confidence intervals (CI).

For the combined associations of PAI and SB with CV-RF clustering, PAI was categorized into two groups (<100 PAI and \( \geq 100 \) PAI). Participants with \( \leq 4 \text{ h/d} \) of SB, and \( \geq 100 \) weekly PAI were used as a reference. All statistical tests were two sided and a \( P \) value of \( <0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

### Results

The baseline characteristics of the study are presented in Table 1. From the 29,950 apparently healthy participants included, 53.6% were women, 44.1% had \( \geq 100 \) PAI per week, 17.5% were obese (body mass index \( \geq 30 \)), and 20.7% were found to be inactive. Of the total sample, 5.1% (\( n = 1541 \)) were categorized as having CV-RF clustering.

Table 2 reports the OR (95% CI) for the prevalence of CV-RF clustering for SB tertiles stratified by age, and adjusted for various confounders. When compared to the reference group (SB \( \leq 4 \text{ h/d} \)), those \( \leq 44 \) years of age reporting SB \( \geq 7 \text{ h/d} \) had OR: 1.38 (95% CI, 1.10–1.73) of presenting with CV-RF clustering. Similar was observed for those 45–59 years of age who reported \( \geq 7 \text{ h/d} \) of SB, with OR for CV-RF clustering of 1.39 (95% CI, 1.13–1.70) compared to the reference. For participants \( \geq 60 \) years old, those reporting SB \( \geq 7 \text{ h/d} \) had OR of 1.43 (95% CI, 1.10–1.85) for presenting CV-RF clustering compared to the reference group.

Table 3 reports OR for the prevalence of CV-RF clustering for the four PAI categories, by age and adjusted for various confounders. Among those \( \leq 44 \) years old, the likelihood for having CV-RF clustering for those inactive (PAI = 0) was 76% higher (OR: 1.76; 95% CI, 1.40–2.10) compared to the reference group with \( \geq 100 \) PAI. Similarly, having \( \leq 50 \) PAI was associated with 63% (OR: 1.63; 95% CI, 1.32–2.01), and 47% (OR: 1.47; 95% CI, 1.14–1.89) higher likelihood of having CV-RF clustering compared to the reference in those 45–59, and \( \geq 60 \) years, respectively. However, having 51–99 PAI was not associated with higher likelihood of CV-RF clustering as compared to having \( \geq 100 \) PAI across all age categories.
The combined analysis showed that those amounting ≥100 PAI per week were less likely to present with CV-RF clustering associated with SB (Fig 2). In those ≤44 years old, sitting 5–<7 and ≥7 hours per day and having <100 PAI per week resulted in an OR of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.05–1.65) and 1.38 (95% CI, 1.10–1.73), respectively. Similarly, for 45–59 year olds, the OR for those sitting 5–<7 and ≥7 hours per day and having <100 PAI per week were 2.02 (95% CI, 1.61–2.50) and 2.11 (95% CI, 1.61–2.73), respectively (Fig 2). For the oldest age category (≥60 years), the OR for those sitting 5–<7 and ≥7 hours per day and having <100 PAI per week were 1.93 (95% CI, 1.32–2.84) and 2.29 (95% CI, 1.53–3.44) (Fig 2). However, having ≥100 weekly PAI and sitting for ≥5 hours per day resulted in non-significant ORs across all age categories. For the ≤44, 45–59 and ≥60 year olds in the middle sitting tertile (5–<7 h/d), the ORs were 1.36 (95% CI, 0.93–1.98), 1.25 (95% CI, 0.87–1.78) and 1.30 (95% CI, 0.83–2.03), respectively (Fig 2). Similarly, for the ≤44, 45–59 and ≥60 year olds in the highest sitting tertile (≥7 h/d), the ORs were 1.19 (95% CI, 0.81–1.75), 1.36 (95% CI, 0.96–1.93) and 1.28 (95% CI, 0.77–2.12), respectively (Fig 2).

### Table 1 – Descriptive characteristics of participants according to Physical Activity Intelligence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal Activity Intelligence (PAI)</th>
<th>0 (n = 6190)</th>
<th>≤50 (n = 7083)</th>
<th>51–99 (n = 3469)</th>
<th>≥100 (n = 13,208)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women, no. (%)</td>
<td>2418 (39.1)</td>
<td>4183 (59.1)</td>
<td>2140 (61.7)</td>
<td>7313 (55.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45.9 (13.5)</td>
<td>51.4 (14.7)</td>
<td>47.4 (14.0)</td>
<td>45.7 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>82.0 (16.0)</td>
<td>77.3 (14.5)</td>
<td>77.8 (15.2)</td>
<td>77.7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>94.5 (12.3)</td>
<td>92.1 (11.5)</td>
<td>91.4 (11.9)</td>
<td>89.7 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>128.0 (16.3)</td>
<td>128.7 (17.8)</td>
<td>126.8 (17.2)</td>
<td>125.6 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.5 (11.1)</td>
<td>73.2 (11.1)</td>
<td>72.1 (10.8)</td>
<td>71.5 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.2 (5.0)</td>
<td>26.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>26.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>26.1 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity status, (BMI ≥ 30), no. (%)</td>
<td>1455 (23.5)</td>
<td>1267 (17.9)</td>
<td>644 (18.6)</td>
<td>1875 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, no. (%)</td>
<td>2238 (37.6)</td>
<td>3062 (43.2)</td>
<td>1616 (46.6)</td>
<td>6691 (50.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1542 (24.9)</td>
<td>2095 (29.5)</td>
<td>972 (28.0)</td>
<td>4025 (30.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>1782 (28.8)</td>
<td>1418 (20.0)</td>
<td>592 (17.1)</td>
<td>1381 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>538 (8.7)</td>
<td>507 (7.2)</td>
<td>289 (8.3)</td>
<td>1111 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated.

BMI, body mass index.

a Sample-specific quartiles of personal activity intelligence (PAI).

b In hours per day.

c Significantly different from all other PAI categories.

d Significantly different from 0 PAI category.

e Significantly different from 51–99 PAI and ≥100 PAI category.

f Significantly different from ≤50 PAI and ≥100 PAI category.

g Significantly different for 0 PAI and ≥100 PAI category.

h Significant difference between groups (Chi-square test).

### Table 2 – Adjusted odds ratios (OR) for the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factor (CV-RF) clustering stratified by age according to sedentary behavior (SB) among 29,950 individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB (hours/day)</th>
<th>≤44</th>
<th>45–59</th>
<th>≥60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV-RF Clustering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI) a</td>
<td>OR (95% CI) a</td>
<td>OR (95% CI) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4964</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–&lt;7</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>3634</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.32 (1.05–1.65)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.10–1.64)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.01–1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>3832</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.38 (1.10–1.73)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.13–1.70)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.10–1.85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Adjusted for sex, age, smoking status, non-fasting serum glucose and Personal Activity Intelligence (PAI); CI, confidence interval.
Discussion

The main finding of the current study is that having a score of ≥100 PAI per week attenuates the negative association between prolonged SB and CV-RF clustering in apparently healthy participants from the general population.

We observed a positive association between SB and CV-RF clustering. In a previous study on the same cohort this relation between CV-RF clustering and SB was found to persist regardless of whether or not the PA recommendations were met, suggesting SB is a risk factor for CVD independent of PA.11 This is also consistent with findings from other studies on the general population.4,7,21 In a meta-analysis which included more than 700,000 participants from 16 prospective and 2 cross-sectional studies, prolonged SB was associated with a 147% increase in the risk of CVD, 112% increase in the risk of DM, 90% increase in risk of CVD mortality and 49% increase in the risk of all-cause mortality, with the reported associations largely independent of age.4 Yet, a more recent meta-analysis, which included 16 studies on premature all-cause and CVD death associated with prolonged SB across age groups. A weekly PAI score of 400 to 525 minutes per week eliminated the risk of premature all-cause and CVD death associated with prolonged SB.12 However, it may be problematic to prescribe prolonged SB.12 It is important to consider that individuals so any activity in highly sedentary persons will go a long way.13 However, in a study on the general population, no further risk reductions in premature death or loss of benefit were seen with a score beyond a 100 PAI.15

The strength of the current study is its large sample size across all age categories, representing the general Norwegian population free from heart disease, with detailed information on various CV-RF. The study had some limitations. SB was self-reported and thus susceptible to recall bias. Although, the questionnaire on SB utilized in the current study is similar to the commonly used International Physical Activity Questionnaire, which has been validated and found acceptable for objective measures of SB would have been preferable. Furthermore, PAI algorithm was derived from the HUNT cohort and as such, its generalizability remains to be tested in other populations with varying ethnicities, age, health and disease status.

In conclusion, our results show that a score of ≥100 weekly PAI attenuates the association between SB and CV-RF clustering across adult age categories in a general Norwegian population. Monitoring weekly PAI-level could be useful to ensure that people spending long time in a sedentary state perform enough PA to reduce the risk of CVD. Certainly, increasing PA and reducing SB is needed throughout the globe as a cost-effective approach to improve overall health and, especially, reduce CVD-and all-cause mortality.22-25
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAI</th>
<th>CV-RF Clustering</th>
<th>45–59</th>
<th>CV-RF Clustering</th>
<th>45–59</th>
<th>CV-RF Clustering</th>
<th>45–59</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OR (95%CI) a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OR (95%CI) a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>1.76 (1.40–2.20)</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.93 (1.56–2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2234</td>
<td>1.28 (0.98–1.68)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>1.63 (1.32–2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–99</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>1.25 (0.93–1.72)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1.29 (0.97–1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥100</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>5935</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.

* Adjusted for sex, age, smoking status, non-fasting serum glucose and sedentary behavior SB.
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**Fig 2** - Adjusted odds ratios of clustering of CV-RF in combined categories of PAI and SB. a Adjusted for sex, age, smoking status, and non-fasting serum glucose. * denotes significant difference (P < 0.05) from reference category.
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